

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
10

11 JUDITH PALMER,

12 Plaintiff(s),

13 v.

14 CLIFTON LARSON ALLEN, LLP,

15 Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:18-cv-01397-JCM-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 22]

16 Pending before the Court is a stipulation to extend the discovery cutoff and subsequent
17 deadlines by 60 days. Docket No. 21. Requests to extend deadlines in the scheduling order require
18 a showing of good cause. Local Rule 26-4. Good cause exists to modify the scheduling order
19 when the current deadlines cannot reasonably be met despite the parties' diligence. *See, e.g.,*
20 *Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992). The stipulation in this
21 case lists completed discovery and then indicates that Plaintiff "would like" to conduct more
22 discovery. Docket No. 22 at 2. A mere desire for relief does not establish an entitlement to relief.
23 Because no showing has been made that the remaining discovery could not have been completed
24 by the current cutoff through diligent effort, the stipulation is **DENIED** without prejudice.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: February 4, 2019

27
28 
Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge